

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
10/520,821	01/07/2005	Akira Suzuki	Q85359 2860	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 06/28/2007	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	MAKI, STEVEN D		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	1733		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520,821		SUZUKI, AKIRA				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steven D. Maki	•	1733				
The MAILING DATE of th	is communication app		sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	Idress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing described in the set of extended and the set of extended by the office later than the set of extended and the set of e	OM THE MAILING DA r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period was period for reply will, by statute three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire Son, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed me mailing date of this or (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .								
3) Since this application is in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with	n the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11, 453	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.				•			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	•		tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allo								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejec	ted.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/o	r election requirem	nent.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	ır.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•		cted to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s					
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correct	tion is required if the	drawing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the	attached Office A	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 t	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)	None of:							
1. Certified copies of	the priority document	s have been recei	ved.					
	the priority document		• •					
3. Copies of the certif				d in this National	Stage			
	e International Bureau	•	• •					
* See the attached detailed (Office action for a list	of the certified cop	ies not received	l.				
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 			nterview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 N	Notice of Informal Par					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>010705,11140</u>	<u>ð</u> .	6) 📙 C	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

1) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2) Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites a step instead of an additional limitation of the tire. It is also unclear what is / was subjected to the specified temperature. In clam 8, it is suggested to change the wherein clause to --wherein the cushion rubber was vulcanized at a temperature of 100-130 °C--.

- 3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeske et al (US 2002/0058760) in view of Chauvin et al (US 6,982,050).

Jeske et al teaches a rubber composition for a tire comprising 100 parts rubber, 05.-3.8 parts of a "thiuram type compound" having the formula 1 (paragraphs 14-17) and 0.5-3.0 parts acceleration accelerators such as mercaptobenzothiazole. The claimed component (1) reads on the "thiuram type compound" having formula (1) as set forth in paragraphs 14-17. The claimed component (2) reads on the mercaptobenzothiazole. Jeske et al teaches that the rubber composition releases no

Application/Control Number: 10/520,821

Art Unit: 1733

nitrosamines during vulcanization which are carcinogenic to humans. Jeske et al does not recite component (3).

As to claims 1 and 12, it would have been obvious to include component (3) such as diphenylguanidine in Jeske et al's rubber composition for a tire such that the rubber composition includes 0.1-4.0 parts compound (1), 0.1-2.0 parts compound (2) and 0.1-2.0 parts compound (3) since:

- (A) Jeske et al, directed to preventing release of nitrosamines during vulcanization of a rubber composition for a tire, teaches rubber composition for a tire comprising 100 parts rubber, <u>05.-3.8 parts</u> of a <u>"thiuram type compound"</u> having the formula 1 as in paragraphs 14-17 and <u>0.5-3.0 parts</u> acceleration accelerators such as mercaptobenzthiazole and
- (B) Chauvin et al, directed to *rubber compositions for tires which is free of carcinogenic nitrosamine precursor*, suggests providing such a rubber composition with <u>0.2-3 parts</u> "thiuram type compound" (e.g. tetrabenzylthiuram disulfide (TBZTD), tetramethylthiuram disulfide (TMTD)) and <u>0.1-3 parts compound</u> (2) such as <u>mercaptobenzothiazole (MBT)</u>, benzothiazyl disulfide (DPG) and <u>0.05-1 parts</u> compound (3) such as <u>diphenylguanidine (DPG)</u>.

Advantageously, Chauvin et al teaches that a rubber composition containing the three compounds can be vulcanized at 95-140 °C.

As to claim 2, see paragraphs 14-17 of Jeske et al.

As to claim 3, Jeske et al teaches uses the composition for a tire component such as belt strips (reinforced rubber plies comprising rubber and reinforcing material) of the tire.

As to claim 4, Jeske et al and Chauvin et al teach using natural rubber.

As to claim 5, Jeske et al and Chauvin et al teach using mercaptobenzothiazole

As to claims 3 and 7-10, it would have been obvious to use the composition suggested by the combination of Jeske et al and Chauvin et al as the **cushion rubber** for a retreaded tire since Chauvin et al teaches using the rubber composition comprising the tire components as a rubber layer ("cushion rubber") for bonding a precured tread to a carcass of a worn vulcanized tire.

As to claims 6 and 11, it would have been obvious to provide the rubber composition suggested by Jeske et al and Chauvin et al such that the claimed vulcanization time and tensile stress property are satisfied in view of Chauvin et al's teaching to use the composition (e.g. example 1.1) to obtain rapid curing and Chauvin et al's teaching to use the rubber composition comprising the tire components as a rubber layer ("cushion rubber") for bonding a precured tread to a carcass of a worn vulcanized tire.

Remarks

- 5) The remaining references are of interest.
- 6) No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,821

Art Unit: 1733

Page 5

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven D. Maki June 23, 2007 STEVEN D. MAKI